ASX ANNOUNCEMENT



Galena Mining Limited

ASX: G1A

Shares on Issue 336,564,520

Cash (unaudited) ~A\$10m

Directors & Management

Non-Executive Chairman Adrian Byass

CEO Edward Turner

COO Troy Flannery

Non-Executive Directors Jonathan Downes Oliver Cairns Tim Morrison

Company Secretary Stephen Brockhurst

<u>Registered Office</u> Level 11, 216 St Georges Tce Perth WA 6000 T 08 9481 0389

<u>Contact</u> 5/245 Churchill Ave, Subiaco WA 6008 T 08 6166 3750

E admin@galenamining.com.au W www.galenamining.com.au 12 June 2018

DRILLING EXPANDS WOODLANDS COPPER AND LEAD MINERALISED FOOTPRINTS

Highlights

- Two drill holes (GWD001, 002) completed at the Woodlands Complex approximately 50km west of Abra to test strong conductive plates identified by Galena for massive copper mineralisation;
- Both holes intersected chalcopyrite (copper sulphide) and galena (lead sulphide) over broad intercepts. Polymetallic and potentially 'zoned';
- Both drill hole intersections are several hundred metres from historic significant intersections thereby growing the known mineralised areas considerably;
- GWD002 intersected up to 5% galena over 7 metres and 20% chalcopyrite over 30 centimetres (visually estimated, assays pending);
- Downhole electromagnetic (EM) surveys to drive next drilling programmes; and
- Pre Feasibility work at Abra continues

Galena Mining Limited (ASX: G1A) ("Galena" or the "Company") is pleased to announce that drilling of two diamond core holes (GWD001 and GWD002) at the Woodlands project west of Abra (Figure 1) were recently completed for a total of 1,114 metres. The first of these was at the Leader 18 Prospect and the second at the 46-40 Prospect. Both prospects have recorded significant historic drill intersections (ASX release 7th May 2018) including **60m @ 0.3% copper from 505m (inc. 0.4m @ 8.4% copper and 16g/t silver from 558m) in WDH1** and **3m @ 1.6% copper from 188m in JLWA-78-34** (see Table 1 for further details).



Galena CEO Ed Turner commented:

"We are pleased that the initial drilling of the Woodlands targets has significantly enhanced and extended the known mineralised footprints at the two tested Prospects. Downhole electromagnetic (DHEM) surveys will follow shortly and help refine the targets for further drill testing and improve our chances of intersecting higher tenor mineralisation within these prospects."

Woodlands Targets

Galena's 100% owned tenement package includes the Woodlands copper prospects is located to the west of Abra (see Figure 1).




Figure 1: Woodlands copper prospect location

Drilling Summary

GWD001 at the Leader 18 prospect intersected approximately **60 metres of 0.5 - 1% chalcopyrite from 260 metres** downhole as well as other lesser amounts of chalcopyrite and galena within chlorite altered, brecciated and veined sediments throughout the remainder of the hole. Hydrothermal magnetite and manganese were also widespread.

Theses mineralised zones are interpreted as being part of the same mineralised system previously intersected in historic drilling however Galena's drilling intercepts are several hundred metres from the historic intersections. The system has therefore been significantly extended with this drilling. All assays are pending.



GWD002 (see Figures 2,3 and 4) at the 46-40 Prospect intersected more extensive and intense chalcopyrite and galena mineralisation along with manganese and magnetite throughout much of the hole. The most significant (visual estimates) intervals were:

7 metres @ 5% galena and 70% magnetite from 258 metres

7.5 metres @ 2% chalcopyrite from 529.5 metres within 33.4 metres @ 0.5 – 1% chalcopyrite from 517.7 metres

4 metres @ 3% chalcopyrite from 604 metres

1 metre @ 5% chalcopyrite from 624.6 metres (in quartz vein)

Significantly the styles of mineralisation intersected in both holes strongly resemble Abra mineralisation 50km to the east of Woodlands.

Samples have been cut and submitted to SGS in Perth for analysis. Assays are expected for both holes in early July.



Figure 2: Chalcopyrite (copper sulphide) mineralisation in GWD002 at the 46-40 Prospect





Figure 3: Close up view of significant chalcopyrite in GWD002



Figure 4: Diamond core drilling at Woodlands 46-40 Prospect

Galena is excited by the results of satellite drilling At Woodlands as it confirms and enhances the prospectively in the tenement package which hosts Abra. A second diamond drilling rig has been operational at Abra since early May and continues to perform infill drilling in several selected locations within the world-class Abra deposit to facilitate advanced economic studies currently underway. Abra remains the focus of the Company and the Pre-Feasibility study remains on track for deliver in September.



For more information visit www.galenamining.com.au

Contact

Ed Turner CEO Galena Mining Limited <u>eturner@galenamining.com.au</u> Ph: 08 6166 3750

Competent Person Statement

The information in this report related to Exploration Results, Mineral *Resources or Ore Reserves is based on information compiled by Mr E Turner*, B.App Sc, and Mr A Byass, B.Sc Hons (Geol), B.Econ, FSEG, MAIG both an employee and a Director of Galena Mining Limited. Mr Turner and Byass have sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Exploration Targets, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Turner and Mr Byass consent to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.



Prospect	Drillhole ID	EOH	Depth From	Depth To	Significant Intercept		
46-40	3JWRC005	267.4	246	256	10m @ 0.6% Pb		
46-40	WDD001	522	149	160	11m @ 0.5% Pb		
46-40	WDD001	522	304.5	313	8.5m @ 0.8% Pb		
46-40	WDD001	522	342	378	36m @ 0.6% Cu		
46-40	WDD002	474.6	391	398	7m @ 1% Cu		
46-40	80-1	408.4	131.8	134	2.2m @ 1.3% Pb		
46-40	JLWA-76-25	327	154	158	4m @ 1.3% Pb		
46-40	JLWA-77-28	308.5	110	151	41m @ 0.8% Pb, 0.2% Cu		
46-40	JLWA-77-29	323.6	146	176	30m @ 0.6% Pb		
46-40	JLWA-78-34	365	125	150	25m @ 0.8% Pb		
46-40	JLWA-78-34	365	188	191	3m @ 1.6% Cu; incl. 1m @ 2.5% Cu		
46-40	JLWA-78-34	365	211	226	15m @ 0.4% Cu		
46-40	JLWA-78-34	365	243	266	23m @ 0.3% Cu		
тс	JLWA-78-35	600	551	570	19m @ 0.4% Cu		
ТР	JLWA-78-37	724	703	721	18m @ 0.7% Pb		
ТР	TP-81-8	1,200	594	598	4m @ 3.6% Pb		
ТР	TP-81-8	1,200	623	625	2m @ 4.7% Pb		
Leader 18	L18-1	729	488	518	30m @ 0.4% Cu		
Leader 18	WDH-1	650	505	565	60m @ 0.3% Cu; incl. 0.4m @ 8.4% Cu		
Woodlands	JRP-77-5	158	62	158	96m @ 0.1% Cu		
Woodlands	WD-81-5	536	372	400	28m @ 0.4% Cu		

Table 1: Significant historic Woodlands drill intersections



APPENDIX 1: JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.	Mineralised intervals in Woodlands were drilled with NQ diamond core and sampled by cutting the core with a diamond saw and the half core submitted for assay.
	• Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.	Core sample intervals varied from 0.4m to 1.2m in the mineralised area up to 8m in the unmineralised section for the historic drill holes. The intervals were chosen depending on geological intervals with the vast majority 1m in length for G1A drill and 2m in length for the historic drill holes. Sampling is continuous throughout the mineralised intervals with no gaps.
	• Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.	The majority of the historic drill holes were integrally sampled with wider intervals out of the visible mineralisation and alteration areas, G1A drill holes have been following a wide selective sampling including every alteration zone and few sample types in the unmineralised zone.
Sampling techniques		
	• In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	 All core drilled by G1A has been photographed dry and wet. No core photography has been recorded for the historic drill holes but the majority of the core remains on site. Samples are taken according to geological controls on mineralisation and to visual mineralisation estimate. This includes larger sample intervals representative of the wide mineralised intervals. RC samples were taken on 1m – 4m intervals but further details are not available relating to size of sample submitted to the laboratory. All aspects of the determination of mineralisation are described in this table, but of particular materiality to this Public report is the high quality and completeness of core. The core sampling method is considered appropriate for the Woodlands and mineralisation.
Drilling techniques	• Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	Drilling type was HQ, NQ and BQ diamond core at Woodlands, Manganese Range and Quartzite Well. The historic diamond drill holes usually included an RC pre-collar. G1A drilling has been diamond drilling from the surface.
Drill sample recovery	• Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	Of the data available, the core was measured for recovery and recovery % recorded. Overall recovery was excellent due to the silicified nature of the rock, which resulted in 100% or close to 100% for a majority of the holes. Recovery in RC intervals was not recorded.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary			
	• Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.	No additional measures were required during drilling to maximize recovery due to the silicified nature of the host rock and mineralised zones.			
	• Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	Sample recovery was excellent within unmineralised and mineralised zones.			
	• Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.	All cores and chips were logged geologically and only few geotechnical logs have been reported. Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies have not yet been considered.			
Logging	• Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.	All logging included lithology, texture, grain size, structure, mineralisati and alteration. Most recent logging includes veining, breccias, hardness fracture density and RQD.			
	• The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	Core logging was qualitative and quantitative. Lithological observations were qualitative. All geotechnical observations were quantitative.			
		100% of all core which included all mineralised intervals was logged.			
	• If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.	All cut core was initially sampled as half core for assaying.			
	• If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.	No information has been recorded for the RC chips sampling methodology.			
	• For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.	The latest samples from GWD001-002 have been treated as following: drying, 6mm crush, 75µm pulverisation sample preparation. No information has been recorded for historic holes sample preparation methodology.			
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	• Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.	No sub sampling was completed.			
	• Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling	Field duplicate sampling has been taken every 20 samples on the two latest holes (GWD001, 002). No duplicates have been reported previously as original sampling intervals are considered to be representative of the in situ material based on the orientation of the drill holes and that the sampling intervals were selected based on the logged geology.			
	• Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	Sample sizes are considered appropriate to the fine – medium grained grain common in the host rocks.			



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.	Assaying was completed using fire assay for Au. Pb, Ag, Cu, Zn, Fe, Mn, Mo and Bi were assayed using 4 acid digest method followed with ICP-OES or ICP-AES finish or with a B/AAS method. Ba and As were analysed using a XRF. For GWD001 and GWD002, Au assays Will be completed using fire assay and Ag, Cu, Pb, Fe, Zn, Ni, Mn, Co, As, S, Bi and Sb were assayed using 4 acid digest method followed with ICP-AES finish. These methods are considered appropriate for ore grade analysis and are considered total analysis.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.	The two latest holes will have GYRO downhole survey as well as an EM survey which will be completed in the next few months. No downhole geophysical data was recorded for the historic holes.
	• Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.	For G1A drill holes, standards and field duplicates were taken every 20 samples, blanks every 50 samples. This level of QAQC is appropriate to the nature of the mineralisation. No historical QAQC information has been recorded. Resamples of some Woodlands and Quartzite Well core was previously completed by Abra Mining and the results have been positively compared with the historic assays.
	 The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. 	All significant intersections were verified by alternative company geologists. No twinned holes were drilled.
Verification of sampling and assaying	 Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. 	All historic drill hole primary data was firstly recorded on paper and then when computers became of general use the data were recorded in an electronic database. All paper documents were scanned and electronic and paper copies kept. G1A is recording all the data on the logging software LogChief then added to the database. A copy is also kept out of the database as an archive file.
	• Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	There were no adjustments made to assay data.
Location of data points	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.	All of the historic collars have been re surveyed and validated by Abra Mining Limited Geologists. Down hole surveys have been completed every 25 to 50m with a magnetic tool in the diamond holes of Woodlands, Manganese Range and Quartzite Well. No down hole survey has been recorded for Manganese Range RC holes.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• Specification of the grid system used.	All data were converted or directly captured in Map Grid of Australia GDA 94, Zone 50.
	Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	The RL were re-surveyed and validated using a handheld GARMIN GPS60s which gives us with a satisfactory control over the topography.
	• Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.	Only a few exploration drill holes have been drilled in Woodlands, Quartzite Well and Manganese Range so spacing is not yet important.
Data spacing and distribution	• Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	Data spacing is not yet sufficient to establish geological and grade continuity to establish a mineral resource estimate.
	• Whether sample compositing has been applied.	No sample compositing has been applied.
Orientation of data in	• Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.	The latest drilling has been drilled at a different angle from the historic drilling to better test the new geophysical target interpretations.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	• If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralized structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	It is not considered that there is a sampling bias in the majority of the historic drill holes.
Sample security	• The measures taken to ensure sample security.	All sampled core have been transmitted from site to Perth assay laboratories either by company personnel or by courier. All remaining core is stored on site.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	No audits have been conducted to date.

Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national	Galena Mining holds 100% interest in the Jillawarra Project, consisting of Exploration Leases E52/1413 and E52/3575.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	park and environmental settings.	
	• The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	Within the adjoining Mulgul Project Galena Mining holds 100% of E52/1455 and M52/0776. All tenements are in good standing and have existing Aboriginal Heritage Access Agreements in place. No mining agreement has been negotiated.
Exploration done by other parties	• Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	Historic exploration was largely initiated in response to the recognition that the sediments of the Bangemall region and those units hosting large stratiform lead-silver-zinc deposits in the Mt Isa region are similar in geology and age. This recognition provided the basis for the initial phase of exploration by Amoco during the 1970s, and was accompanied by geochemical and geophysical prospecting in areas where the "prospective" host sequence was exposed. Subsequent exploration during the 1980's, in contrast, was heavily biased towards the detection and testing of magnetic anomalies followed by detailed geochemical and geophysical testing. In 1981 Amoco and Geopeko discovered the Abra deposit, now a known deposit with a 2018 resource estimation. In the meanwhile Amoco and Cyprus were exploring for gold in the Manganese Range. From 1995 the JV between RGC Exploration and North Limited results in base metal, copper and gold exploration around the Jillawarra Project. In 2000 Apex Minerals took over the project and was targeting polymetallic iron oxide copper gold (IOCG) style mineralisation. Then in 2005 the project was sold to Abra Mining Limited (AML) which resumes drilling in 2006 until 2015 when they entered in JV with MMG Exploration for the Jillawarra Project. MMG drilled few targets in the following year but due to head company reorganisation the project has been sold to Galena Mining in 2017.
Geology	• Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	The exploration in the Jillawarra Project targets an Abra style mineralisation. The Abra deposit lies within sediments of the Proterozoic Edmund Group. There are two styles of mineralisation within the Abra deposit; the upper mineralisation is strata-bound massive and disseminated sulphides associated with lead and silver mineralisation (dominantly galena), and the lower mineralisation consists of sulphide-rich hydrothermal veins that transported the mineralisation to the upper zone. This zone contains the copper and gold mineralisation as well as lead and silver.
Drill hole Information	• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:	The survey collar, survey method, depth, drill method and downhole surveys follow. Downhole surveying was done with a magnetic tool. Sample intervals were between 0.4m and 8m with the vast majority being 1 to 2m in length. Dataset: 46-40, Leader18 and Woodlands prospects are part of the regional Woodlands Complex (Woodlands).



o easting and northing of the drill hole collar o elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar o dip and azimuth of the hole o down hole length and interception depth o hole length.	DataSet 46-40 46-40 46-40 Leader 18 Leader 18 Leader 18 Woodlands Manganese Range Manganese Range Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Data3 46-4 46-5 46-5 </th <th>10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1</th> <th>Hole_ Type DDH JUWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2</th> <th>25 25 25</th> <th>Orig_ Grid_ID MGA94_50 S0 100</th> <th>Orig_ East 611544 611582 612645 611475 612601 61098 639366 638801 637540 636523 636599 DHSurvey UN</th> <th>IK</th> <th>Orig_RL 584 579 556 585 557 593 621 651 586 622 621 01 01 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</th> <th>337</th> <th>·</th> <th></th>	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Hole_ Type DDH JUWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	25 25 25	Orig_ Grid_ID MGA94_50 S0 100	Orig_ East 611544 611582 612645 611475 612601 61098 639366 638801 637540 636523 636599 DHSurvey UN	IK	Orig_RL 584 579 556 585 557 593 621 651 586 622 621 01 01 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	337	·	
 o elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar o dip and azimuth of the hole o down hole length and interception depth 	46-40 46-40 Leader 18 Leader 18 Woodlands Manganese Range Manganese Range Quartzite Well Quartzite Quartzi	JLWA-78-34 GWD002 GWD001 WDH1 WDD1005 JLWA-77-27 MRC89-6 MRRC004 JLWA-75-7 JLWA-76-10	DDH DDH DDH DDH RC RC DDH DDH DDH DDH DDH DDH JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	365 630 483.7 650 320.23 402 103 197 209.86 162 55 55 55	MGA94_50 SO	611582 612645 612601 61098 639366 638801 637540 636523 636599 DHSurvey	7275825 7274060 7275846 727274152 72724084 7272787 7272754 7272754 7272754 7273665	579 556 585 557 593 621 651 586 622 621 621	GPS 60 GPS 60	UNK GPS UNK UNK GPS GPS GPS UNK UNK UNK	1976
(Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar o dip and azimuth of the hole o down hole length and interception depth	46-40 Leader 18 Leader 18 Woodlands Manganese Range Quartzite Well Quartzite Quar	GWD002 GWD01 WD1 WDD005 JLWA-77-27 MRC-89-6 MRC004 JLWA-75-7 JLWA-75-10 JLWA-76-10 Set 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	DDH DDH DDH RC RC DDH DDH DDH DDH DDH DDH JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	630 483.7 650 320.23 402 103 197 209.86 162 55 55 55	MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 SOpth	612645 611475 612601 61098 639366 638801 637540 636523 636599	7274060 7275846 7274162 7274084 7272787 7272783 7272754 7272754 7273665 7273665	556 585 557 593 621 651 586 622 621 621 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 PRJ GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60	GPS GPS UNK GPS GPS UNK EST UNK	1976
elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar o dip and azimuth of the hole o down hole length and interception depth	46-40 Leader 18 Leader 18 Woodlands Manganese Range Quartzite Well Quartzite Quar	GWD002 GWD01 WD1 WDD005 JLWA-77-27 MRC-89-6 MRC004 JLWA-75-7 JLWA-75-10 JLWA-76-10 Set 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	DDH DDH DDH RC RC DDH DDH DDH DDH DDH DDH JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	630 483.7 650 320.23 402 103 197 209.86 162 55 55 55	MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 SOpth	612645 611475 612601 61098 639366 638801 637540 636523 636599	7274060 7275846 7274162 7274084 7272787 7272783 7272754 7272754 7273665 7273665	556 585 557 593 621 651 586 622 621 621 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 PRJ GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60	GPS UNK GPS GPS GPS GPS UNK UNK	1976
level in metres) of the drill hole collar o dip and azimuth of the hole o down hole length and interception depth	Leader 18 Leader 18 Woodlands Manganese Range Manganese Range Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Quartzite Well A6-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4	GWD001 WDH1 WDD005 JLWA-77-27 MRC-89-6 MRRC004 JLWA-75-7 JLWA-75-10 JLWA-76-10 SEt 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	DDH DDH RC RC DDH DDH DDH DDH DDH DDH JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	483.7 650 320.23 402 103 197 209.86 162 15 15 15	MGA94_50 S0	611475 612601 610098 639366 638801 636523 636523 636599 DHSurvey	7275846 7274162 7274084 7272787 7272783 7272754 7273640 7273665	585 557 593 621 651 586 622 621 621 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 PRJ GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60	GPS UNK GPS GPS GPS GPS UNK UNK	1976
drill hole collar o dip and azimuth of the hole o down hole length and interception depth	Leader 18 Woodlands Manganese Range Manganese Range Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Ad6-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4	WDH1 WDDD005 JLWA-77-27 MRC-89-6 MRRC004 JLWA-75-7 JLWA-75-10	DDH DDH RC RC DDH DDH DDH DDH DDH JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	650 320.23 402 103 197 209.86 162 15 15 15	MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 Pepth 0 50	612601 61098 639366 638801 637540 636523 636599	7274162 7274084 7272787 7272785 7272754 7273640 7273665 7273665	557 593 621 651 586 622 621 621 Dip -60	GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 PRJ GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 Orig_Azi 337	UNK GPS GPS GPS UNK UNK UNK UNK	1976
 o dip and azimuth of the hole o down hole length and interception depth 	Woodlands Manganese Range Manganese Range Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Datas 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4	WDDD005 JLWA-77-27 MRC-89-6 MRRC004 JLWA-75-7 JLWA-76-10	DDH DDH RC RC DDH DDH DDH Hole_ID JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	320.23 402 103 197 209.86 162 55 55 55 55	MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 Pepth 0 50	610098 639366 638801 637540 636523 636599 DHSurvey	7274084 7272787 7272835 7272754 7273640 7273665 7273665	593 621 651 586 622 621 621 Dip -60	GPS 60 GPS 60 PRJ GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 Orig_Azi 337	UNK GPS GPS GPS UNK UNK UNK	1976
the hole o down hole length and interception depth	Manganese Range Manganese Range Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Datas 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4	JLWA-77-27 MRC-89-6 MRRC004 JLWA-75-7 JLWA-76-10	DDH RC RC DDH DDH DDH JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	402 103 197 209.86 162 15 15 15	MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 Pepth0 50	639366 638801 637540 636523 636599 DHSurvey	7272787 7272835 7272754 7273640 7273665 7273665	621 651 586 622 621 Dip -60	GPS 60 GPS 60 PRJ GPS 60 GPS 60 GPS 60 Orig_Azi 337	GPS GPS EST UNK UNK	1976
o down hole length and interception depth	Range Manganese Range Quartrite Well Quartrite Well Quartrite Well Ad-4 Ad-4 Ad-4 Ad-4 Ad-4 Ad-4 Ad-4 Ad-4	MRC-89-6 MRRC004 JLWA-75-7 JLWA-76-10 Set I0 I0	RC RC DDH DDH JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	103 197 209.86 162 55 55 55	MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 S0	638801 637540 636523 636599 DHSurvey	7272835 7272754 7273640 7273665	651 586 622 621 Dip -60	GPS 60 PRJ GPS 60 GPS 60 Orig_Azi 337	GPS EST UNK UNK	1976
and interception depth	Range Manganese Range Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Adf-4 46-4	MRRC004 JLWA-75-7 JLWA-76-10 Set	RC DDH DDH DDH JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	197 209.86 162 55 55 55	MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 MGA94_50 Depth 0 50	637540 636523 636599 DHSurvey UN	7272754 7273640 7273665 	586 622 621 Dip -60	PRJ GPS 60 GPS 60 Orig_Azi 337	EST UNK UNK	1976
and interception depth	Manganese Range Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Data: 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4	JLWA-75-7 JLWA-76-10 Set 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Hole_ID JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	209.86 162 15 15 15	MGA94_50 MGA94_50 0 50	636523 636599 DHSurvey UN	7273640 7273665 	622 621 Dip -60	GPS 60 GPS 60 Orig_Azi 337	UNK UNK	1976
o hole length.	Quartrite Well Quartrite Well 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46	JLWA-76-10 Set 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0	Hole_ID JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	162 15 15 15 15	MGA94_50	636599 DHSurvey UN	7273665 _Method JK	621 Dip -60	GPS 60 Orig_Azi 337	UNK	1976
	Well Quartite Well 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46	JLWA-76-10 Set 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0	Hole_ID JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	162 15 15 15 15	MGA94_50	636599 DHSurvey UN	7273665 _Method JK	621 Dip -60	GPS 60 Orig_Azi 337	UNK	1976
	Well Data 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-	Set 10 10 10	Hole_ID JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	15 IS	Depth 0 50	DHSurvey UN	_Method	Dip -60	Orig_Azi	muth	1976
	46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	25 25 25	0 50	UN	IK	-60	337	·	1976
	46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	JLWA-76-2 JLWA-76-2	25	50						
	46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	JLWA-76-2	:5		UN	IK	-52	337		1976
	46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4	10 01			100				557		10.0
	46-4 46-4 46-4 46-4	10			100	UN	IK	-53	337		1976
	46-4 46-4 46-4			5	175	UN		-51	337		1976
	46-4 46-4 46-4		JLWA-76-2		250	UN		-59			1976
	46-4 46-4										
	46-4		JLWA-76-2		300	UN		-56			1976
			JLWA-78-3		0	MA		-55			1978
			JLWA-78-3 JLWA-78-3		40 84	MA MA		-58.			1978 1978
	46-4		JLWA-78-3		105	MA		-62			1978
	46-4		JLWA-78-3		129	MA		-62.5			1978
	46-4		JLWA-78-3		156	MA	٩G	-62			1978
	46-4		JLWA-78-3		185	MA		-62			1978
	46-4		JLWA-78-3 JLWA-78-3		213 233	MA MA		-61.			1978 1978
• If the exclusion	46-4		JLWA-78-3		280	MA		-58.			1978
of this information is	46-4		JLWA-78-3		330	MA		-56			1978
justified on the basis	46-4	10	JLWA-78-3	4	365	MA		-55		i	1978
that the information is	46-4		GWD002		0	COM		-65			2018
not Material and this	46-4		GWD002		30.4	SINGLE		-66.4			2018
exclusion does not	46-4		GWD002 GWD002		60.4 90	SINGLE	_	-66.			2018 2018
	46-4		GWD002 GWD002		120	SINGLE		-66.8			2018
detract from the	46-4		GWD002		150.9	SINGLE	_	-67	155.		2018
understanding of the	46-4	10	GWD002		180.9	SINGLE		-67	155.	7	2018
report, the Competent	46-4		GWD002		220.6	SINGLE		-67	162.		2018
Person should clearly	46-4		GWD002		250.6	SINGLE		-67.			2018
explain why this is the	46-4		GWD002 GWD002		280 310	SINGLE		-67.8			2018 2018
case.	46-4		GWD002 GWD002		345.6	SINGLE		-68.3			2018
	46-4		GWD002		375.6	SINGLE		-68.0			2018
	46-4		GWD002		405	SINGLE	SHOT	-68.8	3 160)	2018
	46-4		GWD002		435	SINGLE		-68.8			2018
	46-4		GWD002 GWD002		465.6 495.6	SINGLE SINGLE		-68.9			2018 2018
	46-4		GWD002 GWD002		531.6	SINGLE		-69.3			2018
	46-4		GWD002		561.3	SINGLE	_	-69.3			2018
	46-4	40	GWD002		612	SINGLE	SHOT	-69.2	2 158.	7	2018
	46-4		GWD002		630	SINGLE		-69.4			2018
	Leader		GWD001		0	COM		-70			2018
	Leader		GWD001 GWD001		15 30	SINGLE		-70.4			2018 2018
	Leader		GWD001 GWD001		60	SINGLE		-69.			2018
	Leader		GWD001		90	SINGLE		-68.9			2018
	Leader		GWD001		120	SINGLE		-67.4			2018
	Leader		GWD001		150	SINGLE		-66.3			2018
	Leader		GWD001		181.3	SINGLE		-65.2			2018
	Leader		GWD001		217.3	SINGLE		-64.4			2018
	Leader		GWD001 GWD001		256.3 286.3	SINGLE		-63.0			2018 2018
	Leader		GWD001 GWD001		286.3 316.8	SINGLE	_	-63.			2018
	Leader		GWD001		346.8	SINGLE		-61.			2018
	Leader	r 18	GWD001	. 3	375.8	SINGLE	SHOT	-56.2	2 198.	6	2018
	Loadou	r 18	GWD001 GWD001		404.8 434.8	SINGLE		-59 -58.2			2018 2018



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary							
		Leader 18	GWD001	483.7	SINGLE_SHOT	-57.6	203.3	2018	
		Leader 18	WDH1	0	MAG	-90	0	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	35	MAG	-88.5	197	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	62	MAG	-82	222	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	89	MAG	-75	220	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	127.5	MAG	-73.5	218	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	160.5	MAG	-72.8	217	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	190	MAG	-70	215	1991	
		Leader 18 Leader 18	WDH1 WDH1	220.5 250	MAG	-86	212 212	1991 1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1 WDH1	230	MAG	-64.5	212	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	280.5	MAG	-64.5	210	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	319.5	MAG	-62.5	208	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	349	MAG	-60	208	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	379	MAG	-58	206	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	409	MAG	-56	206	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	439	MAG	-54.75	208	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	476	MAG	-54	206	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	519	MAG	-52	205.5	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	550	MAG	-50	212	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	580	MAG	-49.5	214	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	613	MAG	-48	206	1991	
		Leader 18	WDH1	649	MAG	-46	207.5	1991	
		Woodlands	WDDD005	54	UNK	-60.8	184	1996	
		Woodlands	WDDD005	102	UNK	-58.3	185.5	1996	
		Woodlands	WDDD005	157	UNK	-58.5	187	1996	
		Woodlands	WDDD005	205	UNK	-58	188.5	1996	
		Woodlands Woodlands	WDDD005	253	UNK	-57	190	1996	
			WDDD005 JLWA-77-27	304 0	UNK COLL	-57	191 360	1996 1977	
		Manganese Range Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	25	COLL	-55	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	50	COLL	-57	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	75	COLL	-59	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	100	COLL	-60	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	125	COLL	-60	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	150	COLL	-60	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	200	COLL	-58	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	250	COLL	-46	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	300	COLL	-38	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	350	COLL	-34	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	400	COLL	-31	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	MRC-89-6	0	UNK	-60	360	1989	
		Manganese Range	MRC-89-6	103	UNK	-60	360	1989	
		Manganese Range	MRRC004	0	UNK	-60	0	1997	
		Manganese Range	MRRC004	197	UNK	-60	0	1997	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	0	UNK	-60	345	1975	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	25	UNK	-59	345	1975	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	50	UNK	-53	345	1975	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	75	UNK	-51.5	345	1975	
	1	Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	100	UNK	-48	345	1975	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	150	UNK	-48	345	1975 1975	
								1975	
								1976	
								1976	
								1976	
								1976	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-76-10	160	UNK	-41	345	1976	
				100	UNIX		5.5	15/10	
Data aggregation methods	 In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum gra- truncations (eg cuttir of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and 	Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Quartzite Well Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7 JLWA-76-10 JLWA-76-10 JLWA-76-10 JLWA-76-10 JLWA-76-10 JLWA-76-10 JLWA-76-10	200 0 30 60 90 120 160 ted as weigh	UNK UNK UNK UNK UNK UNK ted average means	-45 -55.5 -52 -47 -47 -46 -41	345 345 345 345 345 345 345 345	reat	



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	A maximum internal dilution interval of 2m@ <0.1% Pb or Cu was applied.
	 The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	No metal equivalent calculations were made.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	 These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg'down hole length, true width not known'). 	The knowledge of geometry of the mineralisation is not known enough to be reported. All reported thicknesses are downhole thicknesses.
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	Not applicable.
Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	The quantity of historic drill results is appropriate for the amount of historic exploration completed. It is considered that this reporting is balanced and representative.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Other substantive exploration data	• Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	Other historic exploration drilling data has been previously announced by AML and is also summarised in the IGR within Galena's Prospectus. In September 2017 Galena commissioned New Resolution Geophysics (NRG [™]) Australia to carry out a high-resolution helicopter hosted airborne electromagnetic (EM) survey over the Woodlands, Quartzite Well and Manganese Range Well Prospects. The airborne EM data were acquired using the Xcite [™] system. At the Woodlands Prospect, ten Xcite [™] survey traverses were carried out to follow up historic moving loop EM (MLEM) responses and anomalous VTEM _{MAX} target areas. Survey flight lines were carried out using a NE-SE, NW-SE and N-S orientation. Xcite [™] survey lines at the Mn Range Prospect area were designed by consultant geophysicists Resource Potentials to expand upon helicopter EM surveying carried out in the prospect area in 2014 using the VTEM _{MAX} system. Xcite [™] surveying were carried out in the western part of the prospect area using N-S orientated flight lines that were spaced 200 m apart. Results from this survey include the definition of two EM conductive plates which have been drilled in 2018. Assays results from these holes are still pending but mineral visual estimation has been reported.
Further work	 The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	The assays and the downhole EM surveys for GWD001 and 002 drill holes are still pending. The results will allow further work planning in the next months.