ASX ANNOUNCEMENT



Galena Mining Limited

ASX : G1A

Shares on Issue 278,500,000

Cash (Mar Qtr) \$2.1m

Directors & Management

Non-Executive Chairman Adrian Byass

CEO Edward Turner

COO Troy Flannery

Non-Executive Directors Jonathan Downes Oliver Cairns Tim Morrison

Company Secretary Stephen Brockhurst

Registered Office Level 11, 216 St Georges Tce Perth WA 6000 T 08 9481 0389

<u>Contact</u> 5/245 Churchill Ave, Subiaco WA 6008

T 08 6166 3750 E admin@galenamining.com.au W www.galenamining.com.au

12 April 2018

DRILLING TO COMMENCE AT THE WOODLANDS COPPER PROJECT

Highlights

- Drilling contractors engaged to commence drilling Woodlands to test two strong EM conductors for massive copper mineralisation in latter half of April
- These large and strongly conductive plates identified by Galena in a recent EM survey were not properly tested by first pass historic drilling
- Historic drilling provided significant intersections of 0.4m @ 8.4% copper and 16g/t silver and 3m @ 1.6% copper associated with broader zones of copper sulphide mineralisation

Galena Mining Limited (ASX: G1A) ("Galena" or the "Company") is pleased to announce that drilling contractors have been engaged to test the Company's 100% owned Woodlands priority EM copper targets. Drilling is scheduled to commence in late April and include four diamond core holes totally 2,000 metres. Significantly, one of these targets has historically been "clipped" by a drill hole that returned a massive sulphide intercept of high grade copper. These EM plates are high order and large making them priority exploration targets. In addition, they are hosted within the Irregully Formation which also hosts the Abra deposit 50km to the east. Abra is a world class lead-silver-copper-gold deposit which has high-grade copper and gold intercepts at depth. Galena is progressing rapidly with the Pre-Feasibility Study on Abra based on a high-grade, low capex operation to target the high-grade lead-silver resource announced in March 2018.

Galena CEO Ed Turner commented:

"Whilst the Abra resource is a standalone and globally significant lead-silver (+copper-gold-zinc) project that is the core focus of the Company we are also very excited to give some attention to the Woodlands copper project. Galena's regional EM testing late last year identified two high order priority targets that are known to be associated with high grade copper mineralisation within a setting of broader lower grade copper mineralisation. Any positive results will only add upside to the overall Galena story."



Woodlands

Galena's 100% owned tenement package contains highly prospective regional base metal exploration targets (see Figure 1.)

In late 2017 Galena commissioned New Resolution Geophysics (NRG[™]) Australia to carry out a highresolution helicopter hosted airborne electromagnetic (EM) survey over the Woodlands, Quartzite Well and Manganese Range Well Prospects (see Figure 2). The airborne EM data were acquired using the Xcite[™] system. At the Woodlands Prospect, ten Xcite[™] survey traverses were carried out to follow up historic moving loop EM (MLEM) responses and anomalous VTEM_{MAX} target areas. Survey flight lines were carried out using a NE-SE, NW-SE and N-S orientation. Xcite[™] survey lines at the Mn Range Prospect area were designed by consultant geophysicists Resource Potentials to expand upon helicopter EM surveying carried out in the prospect area in 2014 using the VTEM_{MAX} system. Xcite[™] surveying were carried out in the western part of the prospect area using N-S orientated flight lines that were spaced 200 m apart.

The result was several clearly defined EM conductive plates at the Woodlands Complex which are coincidental with significant historic copper drill intersections including 60m @ 0.3% copper from 505m (inc. 0.4m @ 8.4% copper and 16g/t silver from 558m) in WDH1 and 3m @ 1.6% copper from 188m in JLWA-78-34 (see Figure 3). These conductive plates are potentially related to massive sulphide copper mineralisation.

The larger conductive plate is associated with the historic intersection of 60m @ 0.3% copper inc. **0.4m @ 8.4% copper and 16g/t silver.** The plate is plus 500m in size and therefore the previous drilling has not adequately tested it (see Figure 4). Galena aims to intersect the plate closer to surface and at shallower depths.

The second conductive plate is associated with the **3m @ 1.6% copper** intersection at another prospect nearby (see Figure 5). Importantly this historic drilling is now interpreted as being drilled at a sub-optimal direction and **did not intersect the plate**. Galena's planned drilling will therefore be drilled to directly test the plate.

Manganese Range and Quartzite Well Prospects also contain significant historic intersections that include **28m @ 2.3% lead, 32g/t silver & 1.2% zinc from 121m in JLWA75-7** (see Figure 1). A detailed review of the geology and geophysics is underway, with the highest priority targets to be followed up and drilled in future campaigns.

A summary of significant historic intersections from Woodlands, Manganese Range and Quartzite Well is included in Table 1 and detailed historic drill hole information in Appendix 1.






Figure 1: Galena's exploration prospects with significant historic drill intersections on magnetic background



Figure 2: NRG heliborne EM survey in progress over Woodlands






Figure 3: Plan view of two EM anomalies (red) at Woodlands that are associated with significant historic drill intersections




Figure 4: Woodlands 3D view of the larger EM conductive plate with historic drill holes showing significant intersections and EM anomaly projected to surface






Figure 5: Woodlands 3D view of the second EM conductive plate, nearby historic drill holes with significant intersections and EM anomaly projected to surface



Prospect	Drillhole ID	EOH	Depth From	Depth To	Significant Intercept
46-40	3JWRC005	267.4	246	256	10m @ 0.6% Pb
46-40	WDD001	522	149	160	11m @ 0.5% Pb
46-40	WDD001	522	304.5	313	8.5m @ 0.8% Pb
46-40	WDD001	522	342	378	36m @ 0.6% Cu
46-40	WDD002	474.6	391	398	7m @ 1% Cu
46-40	80-1	408.4	131.8	134	2.2m @ 1.3% Pb
46-40	JLWA-76-25	327	154	158	4m @ 1.3% Pb
46-40	JLWA-77-28	308.5	110	151	41m @ 0.8% Pb, 0.2% Cu
46-40	JLWA-77-29	323.6	146	176	30m @ 0.6% Pb
46-40	JLWA-78-34	365	125	150	25m @ 0.8% Pb
46-40	JLWA-78-34	365	188	191	3m @ 1.6% Cu; incl. 1m @ 2.5% Cu
46-40	JLWA-78-34	365	211	226	15m @ 0.4% Cu
46-40	JLWA-78-34	365	243	266	23m @ 0.3% Cu
тс	JLWA-78-35	600	551	570	19m @ 0.4% Cu
ТР	JLWA-78-37	724	703	721	18m @ 0.7% Pb
ТР	TP-81-8	1,200	594	598	4m @ 3.6% Pb
ТР	TP-81-8	1,200	623	625	2m @ 4.7% Pb
Leader 18	L18-1	729	488	518	30m @ 0.4% Cu
Leader 18	WDH-1	650	505	565	60m @ 0.3% Cu; incl. 0.4m @ 8.4% Cu
Woodlands	JRP-77-5	158	62	158	96m @ 0.1% Cu
Woodlands	WD-81-5	536	372	400	28m @ 0.4% Cu
Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-3	189	98	100	2m @ 4.9% Zn, 1.6% Pb
Quartzite Well	JLWA-76-13A	192	108	162	54m @ 0.3% Zn, 0.8% Pb
Quartzite Well	JRP-77-8	158	114	146	32m @ 0.9% Zn, 0.4% Pb
Quartzite Well	JLWA-76-10	162	86	92	6m @ 5.9% Pb; incl. 2m @ 10.3% Pb
Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	209.9	121	149	28m @ 2.3% Pb, 1.2% Zn, 32g/t Ag
Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	402	313	348	35m @ 0.5% Cu
Manganese Range	MRC-89-6	103	8	24	16m @ 1.1% Pb

Table 1: Significant historic drill intersections



About Abra

Abra is a world class lead-silver-copper-gold-zinc deposit, wholly owned by Galena on a granted mining licence and located in the Gascoyne region of Western Australia. The sediment hosted polymetallic deposit is broadly zoned into an upper level of lead+silver overlying copper+gold mineralisation. Abra is located approximately 110km from Sandfire Resources high-grade Degrussa copper mine, is well serviced by infrastructure and located approximately halfway between Mt Newman and Meekatharra (see Figure 6).




Figure 6: Abra Project location

For more information visit <u>www.galenamining.com.au</u>

Contact

Ed Turner CEO Galena Mining Limited <u>eturner@galenamining.com.au</u> 08 6166 3750



Competent Person Statement

The information in this report related to Exploration Results, Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves is based on information compiled by Mr E Turner B.App Sc, MAIG, and Mr A Byass, B.Sc Hons (Geol), B.Econ, FSEG, MAIG both an employee and a Director of Galena Mining Limited. Mr Turner and Byass have sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Exploration Targets, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Turner and Mr Byass consent to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

APPENDIX 1: JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.	Mineralised intervals in Woodlands and Quartzite Well were drilled with NQ diamond core and sampled by cutting the core with a diamond saw and the half core submitted for assay. Manganese Range was drilled in 1977 (Diamond), 1989 (RC) and 1997 (RC). No details are available for RC sampling methods.
	• Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.	Core sample intervals varied from 0.4m in the mineralised area up to 8m in the unmineralised section. The intervals were chosen depending on geological intervals with the vast majority 2m in length. Sampling is continuous throughout the mineralised intervals with no gaps.
	• Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.	The majority of the holes were integrally sampled with wider intervals out of the visible mineralisation and alteration areas.
Sampling techniques		
	• In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	No core photography has been recorded but the majority of the core remains on site. Samples are taken according to geological controls on mineralisation. This includes larger sample intervals representative of the wide mineralised intervals. RC samples were taken on 1m – 4m intervals but further details are not available relating to size of sample submitted to the laboratory. All aspects of the determination of mineralisation are described in this table, but of particular materiality to this Public report is the high quality and completeness of core. The core sampling method is considered appropriate for the Woodlands and Quartzite Well mineralisation.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
Drilling techniques	 Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	Drilling type was HQ, NQ and BQ diamond core at Woodlands, Manganese Range and Quartzite Well and Reverse Circulation at Manganese Range. The diamond drill holes usually included an RC pre-collar.				
	• Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	Of the data available, the core was measured for recovery and recovery % recorded. Overall recovery was excellent due to the silicified nature of the rock, which resulted in 100% or close to 100% for a majority of the holes. Recovery in RC intervals was not recorded.				
Drill sample recovery	• Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.	No additional measures were required during drilling to maximize recovery due to the silicified nature of the host rock and mineralised zones.				
	• Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	Sample recovery was excellent within unmineralised and mineralised zones.				
	• Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.	All cores and chips were logged geologically and only few geotechnical logs have been reported. Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies have not yet been considered.				
Logging	• Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.	All logging included lithology, texture, grain size, structure, mineralisation and alteration. Most recent logging includes veining, hardness, fracture density and RQD.				
	• The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	Core logging was qualitative and quantitative. Lithological observations were qualitative. All geotechnical observations were quantitative. No core photography was recorded.				
		100% of all core which included all mineralised intervals was logged.				
	• If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.	All cut core was initially sampled as half core for assaying.				
	• If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.	No information has been recorded for the RC chips sampling methodology				
Sub-sampling techniques	• For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.	No information has been recorded for sample preparation methodology.				
and sample preparation	• Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.	No sub sampling was completed.				
	• Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling	No information is available for duplicate sampling. Original sampling intervals are considered to be representative of the in situ material based on the orientation of the drill holes and that the sampling intervals were selected based on the logged geology.				



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	Sample sizes are considered appropriate to the fine – medium grained grain common in the host rocks.
	• The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.	Assaying was completed using fire assay for Au. Pb, Ag, Cu, Zn, Fe, Mn, Mo and Bi were assayed using 4 acid digest method followed with ICP-OES or ICP-AES finish or with a B/AAS method. Ba and As were analysed using a XRF. These methods are considered appropriate for ore grade analysis and are considered total analysis.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.	No downhole geophysical data was recorded.
	• Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.	No original QAQC information on the intervals has been recorded. Re sample of Woodlands and Quartzite Well has been completed later by Abra Mining and the results have been positively compared with the historic assays.
	• The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.	All significant intersections were verified by alternative company geologists.
	• The use of twinned holes.	No twinned holes were drilled.
Verification of sampling and assaying	• Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.	All primary data was firstly recorded on paper and then when computer became of general used the data were recorded in an electronic database. All paper documents were scanned and electronic and paper copies kept.
	• Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	There were no adjustments made to assay data.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary			
	• Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.	All of the collar have been re surveyed and validate by Abra Mining Limited Geologists. Down hole surveys have been completed every 25 to 50m with a magnetic tool in the diamond holes of Woodlands, Manganese Range and Quartzite Well. No down hole survey has been recorded for Manganese Range RC holes.			
Location of data points	• Specification of the grid system used.	All data were converted or directly captured in Map Grid of Australia GDA 9 Zone 50.			
• Quality and adequacy of topographic control.		The RL were re-surveyed and validated using a handheld GPS60 which gives us with a satisfactory control over the topography.			
	• Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.	Only a few exploration drill holes have been drilled in Woodlands, Quartzite Well and Manganese Range so spacing is not yet important.			
Data spacing and distribution	• Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	Data spacing is not yet sufficient to establish geological and grade continuity to establish a mineral resource estimate.			
	• Whether sample compositing has been applied.	No sample compositing has been applied.			
	• Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.	The drilling was orientated at different angles to target the interpreted mineralisation orientation however to date it is limited and may have not been drilled at the optimal orientation; more drilling is needed following new geophysical target interpretations.			
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	• If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralized structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	It is not considered that there is a sampling bias in the majority of the historic drill holes.			
Sample security	• The measures taken to ensure sample security.	All sampled core have been transmitted from site to Perth assay laboratories either by company personnel or by courier. All remaining core is stored on site.			
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	No audits have been conducted to date.			



Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.	Galena Mining holds 100% interest in the Jillawarra Project, consisting of Exploration Lease E52/1413 and E52/3575.
	• The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	Within the adjoining Mulgul Project Galena Mining holds 100% of E52/1455 and M52/0776. All tenements are in good standing and have existing Aboriginal Heritage Access Agreements in place. No mining agreement has been negotiated.
Exploration done by other parties	• Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	Historic exploration was largely initiated in response to the recognition that the sediments of the Bangemall region and those units hosting large stratiform lead-silver-zinc deposits in the Mt Isa region are similar in geology and age. This recognition provided the basis for the initial phase of exploration by Amoco during the 1970s, and was accompanied by geochemical and geophysical prospecting in areas where the "prospective" host sequence was exposed. Subsequent exploration during the 1980's, in contrast, was heavily biased towards the detection and testing of magnetic anomalies followed by detailed geochemical and geophysical testing. In 1981 Amoco and Geopeko discovered the Abra deposit, now a known deposit with a 2018 resource estimation. In the meanwhile Amoco and Cyprus were exploring for gold in the Manganese Range. From 1995 the JV between RGC Exploration and North Limited results in base metal, copper and gold exploration around the Jillawarra Project. In 2000 Apex Minerals took over the project and was targeting polymetallic iron oxide copper gold (IOCG) style mineralisation. Then in 2005 the project was sold to Abra Mining Limited (AML) which resumes drilling in 2006 until 2015 when they entered in JV with MMG Exploration for the Jillawarra Project. MMG drilled few targets in the following year but due to head company reorganisation the project has been sold to Galena Mining in 2017.
Geology	• Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	The exploration in the Jilawarra Project targets an Abra style mineralisation. The Abra deposit lies within sediments of the Proterozoic Edmund Group. There are two styles of mineralisation within the Abra deposit; the upper mineralisation is strata-bound massive and disseminated sulphides associated with lead and silver mineralisation (dominantly galena), and the lower mineralisation consists of sulphide-rich hydrothermal veins that transported the mineralisation to the upper zone. This zone contains the copper and gold mineralisation as well as lead and silver.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commenta									
	• A summary of all	The survey	v collar, su	irvey me	ethod, d	lepth, drill	method	and dov	vnhole su	rveys follo	w. Downho
	information material to	surveying									
	the understanding of the	Sample int	ervals we	re betw	/een 0.4	m and 8m	with th	e vast ma	ajority be	ing 1 to 2m	in length.
	5,	Dataset: 4	6-40 and I	Leader1	.8 prosp	ects are pa	art of th	e regiona	l Woodla	ands Area (\	Noodlands
	exploration results										
	including a tabulation of										
,	the following information	DataSet	Hole_ID	Hole_ Type	Max_ Depth	Orig_ Grid_ID	Orig_ East	Orig_ North	Orig_RL	Orig_Survey_ Method	RL_Survey_ Method
	for all Material drill holes:					-					
	o easting and northing	46-40	JLWA-76- 25	DDH	327	MGA94_50	611544	7275915	584	GPS 60	UNK
of the drill hole collar	46-40	JLWA-78-	DDH	365	MGA94_50	611582	7275825	579	GPS 60	UNK	
	Woodlands	34 WDDD005	DDH	320.23	MGA94_50	610098	7274084	593	GPS 60	UNK	
	o elevation or RL					_					
	(Reduced Level –	Leader 18 Manganese	WDH1 JLWA-77-	DDH	650	MGA94_50	612601	7274162	557	GPS 60	UNK
	elevation above sea level	Range	27	DDH	402	MGA94_50	639366	7272787	621	GPS 60	GPS
	in metres) of the drill hole	Manganese Range	MRC-89-6	RC	103	MGA94_50	638801	7272835	651	GPS 60	GPS
	collar	Manganese									
	o dip and azimuth of the	Range	MRRC004	RC	197	MGA94_50	637540	7272754	586	PRJ	EST
	hole	Quartzite	JLWA-75-	DDH	209.86	MGA94_50	636523	7273640	622	GPS 60	UNK
	o down hole length and	Well Quartzite	7 JLWA-76-								
	interception depth	Well	10	DDH	162	MGA94_50	636599	7273665	621	GPS 60	UNK
	o hole length.										
	o noie ierigini.										
		Data			le_ID	Depth	DHSu	rvey_Meth			
		46-4			-76-25	0		UNK	-60		
		46-4		JLWA	-76-25	50		UNK	-52	337	197
		46-4	10	JLWA	-76-25	100		UNK	-53	337	197
		46-4	10	JLWA	-76-25	175		UNK	-51	. 337	197
		46-4	10	JLWA	-76-25	250		UNK	-59	337	197
		46-4	10	JLWA	-76-25	300		UNK	-56	337	197
		46-40			-78-34	0		MAG		337	
		46-40		JLWA-78-34		40		MAG		5 337	
orill hole		46-40			-78-34	84		MAG	-61.		197
nformation		46-40			-78-34	105		MAG	-62		
,		46-4			-78-34	129		MAG	-62.		
		46-4			-78-34 -78-34	156 185		MAG MAG	-62		
		46-4			-78-34	213		MAG	-61.		
		46-4	10	JLWA	-78-34	233		MAG	-61	. 356	i 197
	• If the exclusion of	46-4			-78-34	280		MAG	-58.		
		46-4			-78-34 -78-34	330 365		MAG MAG	-56		
	this information is	40-4 Woodla			DD005	54	_	UNK	-60.		
	justified on the basis that	Woodla			DD005	102		UNK	-58.		
	the information is not	Woodlands			DD005	157		UNK	-58.		
	Material and this	Woodlands			DD005	205		UNK	-58		
	exclusion does not detract	Woodlands Woodlands			DD005	253 304		UNK UNK	-57		
	from the understanding	Leade			DD005 DH1	304	_	MAG	-57		. 199
	of the report, the	Leade			DH1 DH1	35		MAG	-88.		
	Competent Person should	Leade			DH1	62		MAG	-82		199
	clearly explain why this is	Leade			DH1	89	_	MAG	-75		
	the case.	Leade Leade			DH1 DH1	127.5 160.5		MAG MAG	-73. -72.		
		Leade			DH1 DH1	190		MAG	-72.		
		Leade			DH1	220.5		MAG	-86		
		Leade			DH1	250		MAG	-66		
		Leade			DH1	280		MAG	-64.		
		Leade Leade			DH1 DH1	280.5 319.5	_	MAG MAG	-64. -62.		
		Leade			DH1 DH1	319.5		MAG	-60		
		Leade	r 18		DH1	379		MAG	-58	206	i 199
		Leade			DH1	409		MAG	-56		
		Leade			DH1	439		MAG	-54.7		
		Leade Leade			DH1 DH1	476		MAG MAG	-54		
		Leade			DH1 DH1	519		MAG	-52		
		Leade			DH1	580		MAG	-49.		
		Leade			DH1	613		MAG	-48		
		Leade			DH1	649		MAG	-46		
		Manganes Manganes			-77-27 -77-27	0 25		COLL	-55		
		Manganes	_		-77-27	50		COLL	-52		
		Manganes			-77-27	75		COLL	-59		
		Manganes			-77-27	100		COLL	-60		



	JORC Code explanation								
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	125	COLL	-60	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	150	COLL	-60	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	200	COLL	-58	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	250	COLL	-46	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	300	COLL	-38	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	350	COLL	-34	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	JLWA-77-27	400	COLL	-31	360	1977	
		Manganese Range	MRC-89-6	0	UNK	-60	360	1989	
		Manganese Range	MRC-89-6	103	UNK	-60	360	1989	
		Manganese Range	MRRC004	0	UNK	-60	0	1997	
		Manganese Range	MRRC004	197	UNK	-60	0	1997	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	0	UNK	-60	345	1975	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	25	UNK	-59	345	1975	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	50	UNK	-53	345	1975	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	75	UNK	-51.5	345	1975	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	100	UNK	-48	345	1975	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	150	UNK	-48	345	1975	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-75-7	200	UNK	-45	345	1975	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-76-10	0	UNK	-55.5	345	1976	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-76-10	30	UNK	-52	345	1976	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-76-10	60	UNK	-47	345	1976	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-76-10	90	UNK	-47	345	1976	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-76-10	120	UNK	-46	345	1976	
		Quartzite Well	JLWA-76-10	160	UNK	-41	345	1976	
		Qualitzite Well	32004 70 10	100	ONK	41	345	1570	
Data aggregation methods	techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. • Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade	Significant intersect greater than 4m@0 A maximum interna	9.5% Pb and 4m@	-	-			vals	
	results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. • The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. • These relationships are particularly important	No metal equivalen			1% Pb or Cu w	as applied.			



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	A plan showing the relative location of the Woodlands, Manganese Range and Quartzite Well holes is included in the report.
Balanced reporting	• Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	The quantity of drill results is limited given there are only nine holes being reported on. It is considered that this reporting is balanced and representative.
Other substantive exploration data	• Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	Other historic exploration drilling data has been previously announced by AML and is also summarised in the IGR within Galena's Prospectus. In September 2017 Galena commissioned New Resolution Geophysics (NRG [™]) Australia to carry out a high-resolution helicopter hosted airborne electromagnetic (EM) survey over the Woodlands, Quartzite Well and Manganese Range Well Prospects. The airborne EM data were acquired using the Xcite [™] system. At the Woodlands Prospect, ten Xcite [™] survey traverses were carried out to follow up historic moving loop EM (MLEM) responses and anomalous VTEM _{MAX} target areas. Survey flight lines were carried out using a NE-SE, NW-SE and N-S orientation. Xcite [™] survey lines at the Mn Range Prospect area were designed by consultant geophysicists Resource Potentials to expand upon helicopter EM surveying carried out in the prospect area in 2014 using the VTEM _{MAX} system. Xcite [™] surveying were carried out in the western part of the prospect area using N-S orientated flight lines that were spaced 200 m apart. Results from this survey are described in the text of this announcement.
Further work	 The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	Future work is still in the process of being planned.